

Kitsap County Assessor

Documentation for Countywide Model Tax Year: 2020 Appraisal Date: 1/1/2019

Property Type: Retail - Auto Dealer and Mobile Home/Recreational

Vehicle Dealer

Updated 5/21/2019 by CM20

Area Overview

Countywide models are for properties located throughout Kitsap County, rather than by neighborhood.

Property Type Overview

Complete auto dealerships include showrooms, office, and parts-service facilities. Dealerships can have between 15% and 55% of the building devoted to showrooms. Showrooms have varying finish qualities, from warehouse to office quality finishes.

Kitsap Counth as approximately 50 parcels developed as Retail - Auto Dealer, and two parcels developed as Retail - Mobile Home/Recreational Vehicle Dealer.

Land to Building Ratio: The national land to building ratio for this property type is 10:1. The countywide land to building ratio for this property type is: 8.1:1.

The land to building ratio is used to determine excess or surplus land. Review of zoning requirements such as total lot coverage or parking requirements, as well as topography and easements, must also be considered before calculating value for this land.

Economic Overview: Sales of this property type are limited. Smaller dealers have been vacated and redeveloped to alternative uses.

Valuation Summary

Approach Used: Income

Analysis and Conclusion Summary: The three approaches to value were considered.

The cost approach was given little consideration in the appraiser's final analysis. Cost approach components such as replacement cost new, effective age, and depreciation are difficult to accurately calculate in a mass appraisal model.

A market/sales comparison approach uses sale prices of comparable properties to calculate value. The market/sales approach was not applied as Kitsap County lacks sufficient, recent sales.

The income approach was selected as the best method for valuing these properties. The data used to develop our current model data was collected from our mail survey, site visits, local sales, national publications, and data supplied for review or appeal.

Model Calibration

Preliminary Ratio Analysis: Analysis of 0 sales resulted in a mean ratio of 0%, a median ratio of 0%, and a coefficient of disperson (COD) of 0.

Market/Sales Comparison Approach Data and Analysis

Sales: Range of Sale Dates: to . A total of 0 local sales, and 0 regional sales, and 0 national sales were used in the sales analysis. Additional information is provided on the sales analysis data sheet.

Property type: Retail - Auto Dealer and Mobile Home/Recreational Vehicle Dealer

Kitsap County attempts to review, analyze, and validate via telephone, mail, or in person interviews, all commercial sales within the county for inclusion or exclusion in our market/sales approach.

Market/Sales Rates: Sales ranged from \$0 to \$0 per

Income Approach and Data Analysis

Values were calculated using a triple net (NNN) income model.

Income and expense information was collected from mailed surveys, property representatives, on-site visits, and market research (publications, newsletters, websites, etc.)

Rent Data: The Assessor validated rents from 10% of the market. Typical reported rents had a range of \$10.00 to \$31.25. We selected \$4.36 to \$18.84 for our model.

Vacancy Data: Typical reported vacancy had a range of 0% to 0%. We selected 15% to 20% for our model.

Expense Data: Typical reported expense had a range of 0% to 31%. We selected 6% to 6% for our model.

Capitalization Rate (Cap) Data: Typical sale cap rates ranged from 0% to 0%. We selected 7.5% to 8.25% for our model.

Cap rates were adopted from retail.

Income Model Value Range: The income approach calculates a range of values from \$42.23 to \$188.9 per

Final Ratio Analysis: Analysis of 0 sales resulted in a mean ratio of 0%, a median ratio of 0%, and a coefficient of disperson (COD) of 0.

Sources

Kitsap County Board of Equalization appeal documentation.

Washington State Board of Tax appeal documentation.

Kitsap County income and expense surveys.

Kitsap County sales questionaires.

The Dictionary of Real Estate Appraisal, Appraisal Institute, Third Edition, 1993

Property Appraisal and Assessment Administration, IAAO, 1990

Glossary for Property Appraisal and Assessment, IAAO,1997

LoopNet - www.loopnet.com

Commercial Brokers Association - www.commercialmls.com

KITSAP COUNTY ASSESSOR

Retail, Special - Auto Dealer, MH & RV Dealer Model Guidelines

| Class | Primary Consideration Alternative Use | Construction | Age/Condition | Office/Retail | Location | Display/Parking |
|-------|---|---|--|--|--|---|
| А | Alternative uses would likely be retail or office, also very small structures in core area | Exterior appearance tends to resemble office or retail type structure of better quality grades, interior finish complements exterior | New or recent complete renovation, very good condition, very little or no deferred maintenance or obsolescence, good curb appeal | Large amount of finished showroom/retail space, service and parts areas have some finish | Highly visible or corner lot on a main street in a core area, high traffic count/flow | Ample paved parking for display and customers, |
| В | Alternative uses would likely be retail or office, also very small structures in core area | Exterior appearance tends to resemble office or retail type structure of fair to average quality grades, interior finish complements exterior | Little deferred maintenance evident, but not everything is new, no obsolescence evident, appearance and utility above standard | Larger than average amount of finished showroom/retail space, service and parts areas may have some finish also very small structures in core area | Very visible or main street in a core area, high traffic count/flow | Sufficient paved parking for display and customers |
| С | Alternative uses would likely be a retail warehouse or warehouse with office space | Typical finish, plain fenestration, other than the approach side structure looks like a warehouse | Some deferred maintenance evident but major components still function and have utility, not unappealing | Average amount of finished retail space, garage and parts area may be unfinished | Visibility may be somewhat limited with less traffic volume than class B and C | Sufficient paved parking for display, limited customer parking |
| D | Alternative use would likely be warehouse with limited office/retail space | Plain finish, plain fenestration, other than the approach side structure looks like a warehouse | Some deferred maintenance evident but major components still function and have utility, not unappealing | Finished retail space, garage and parts area may be unfinished | Away from main arterial | Sufficient paved parking for display |
| Е | Alternative use would likely be warehouse with little or no office/retail space | Low quality finish, little fenestration, structure looks like a warehouse | Older or obvious deferred maintenance and some functional obsolescence, some major components need repair or replacement | May have some finished retail space, garage and parts area unfinished | Away from main arterial, narrow street | Limited parking, Land to building ratio much less than typical for property type, perhaps better suited for another use |

Kitsap County Assessor

Tax Year: 2020

Property Type: Retail, Special Neighborhood: 0

| | Not Used | Not Used | Auto Dir | Not Used | MhRvDlr | |
|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|---------|--|
| Class A | | | | | | |
| Rent | | | 18.84 | | 18.84 | |
| Vac % | | | 20.00 | | 20.00 | |
| Exp % | | | 6.00 | | 6.00 | |
| Cap Rate | | | 7.50 | | 7.50 | |
| Market | | | 0.01 | | 0.01 | |
| Class B | | | | | | |
| Rent | | | 17.25 | | 17.25 | |
| Vac % | | | 20.00 | | 20.00 | |
| Exp % | | | 6.00 | | 6.00 | |
| Cap Rate | | | 7.500 | | 7.500 | |
| Market | | | 0.01 | | 0.01 | |
| Class C | | | | | | |
| Rent | | | 7.65 | | 7.65 | |
| Vac % | | | 15.00 | | 15.00 | |
| Exp % | | | 6.00 | | 6.00 | |
| Cap Rate | | | 7.75 | | 7.75 | |
| Market | | | 0.01 | | 0.01 | |
| Class D | | | | | | |
| Rent | | | 5.90 | | 5.90 | |
| Vac % | | | 15.00 | | 15.00 | |
| Exp % | | | 6.00 | | 6.00 | |
| Cap Rate | | | 8.00 | | 8.00 | |
| Market | | | 0.01 | | 0.01 | |
| Class E | | | | | | |
| Rent | | | 4.36 | | 4.36 | |
| Vac % | | | 15.00 | | 15.00 | |
| Exp % | | | 6.00 | | 6.00 | |
| Cap Rate | | | 8.25 | | 8.25 | |
| Market | | | 0.01 | | 0.01 | |

Kitsap County Assessor Tax Year 2019 Local Income Survey for Retail Special - Auto Dealer

| PGI per Unit | Vacancy | EGI per Unit | Expense % | NOI per Unit |
|--------------|---------|--------------|-----------|--------------|
| \$31.25 | 0% | \$31.25 | 0% | \$31.25 |
| \$10.00 | 0% | \$10.00 | 31% | \$6.87 |

Printed: 5/21/2019